

**SUPERIOR COURT
OF
CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF
SAN MATEO**



**LOCAL COURT
RULES**

**As Amended
Effective January 1, 2018**

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
Hall of Justice and Records
400 County Center, 2nd Floor
Redwood City, California 94063

CHAPTER 7. COMPLEX CASES

Rule 2.30 Determination of Complex Case Designation.

A. Decision of Complex Case to be Made by Presiding Judge

The Presiding Judge shall decide whether an action is a complex case within the meaning of California Rules of Court, Rule 3.400, subdivision (a), and whether it should be assigned to a single judge for all purposes. All status conferences or other hearings regarding whether an action should be designated as complex and receive a singly assigned judge shall be set in the Presiding Judge's department.

B. Provisional Designation.

An action is provisionally a complex case if it involves one or more of the following types of claims: (1) antitrust or trade regulation claims; (2) construction defect claims involving many parties or structures; (3) securities claims or investment losses involving many parties; (4) environmental or toxic tort claims involving many parties; (5) claims involving massive torts; (6) claims involving class actions; or (7) insurance coverage claims arising out of any of the claims listed in subdivisions (1) through (6).

The Court shall treat a provisionally complex action as a complex case until the Presiding Judge has the opportunity to decide whether the action meets the definition in California Rules of Court, Rule 3.400, subdivision (a).

C. Application to Designate or Counter-Designate an Action as a Complex Case.

Any party who files either a Civil Case Cover Sheet (pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.401) or a counter or joinder Civil Case Cover Sheet (pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.402, subdivision (b) or (c)), designating an action as a complex case in Items 1, 2 and/or 5, must also file an accompanying Certificate Re: Complex Case Designation in the form prescribed by the Court. The certificate must include supporting information showing a reasonable basis for the complex case designation being sought. Such supporting information may include, without limitation, a brief description of the following factors as they pertain to the particular action:

- (1) Management of a large number of separately represented parties;
- (2) Complexity of anticipated factual and/or legal issues;
- (3) Numerous pretrial motions that will be time-consuming to resolve;
- (4) Management of a large number of witnesses or a substantial amount of documentary evidence;
- (5) Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states or countries or in a federal court;
- (6) Whether or not certification of a putative class action will in fact be pursued; and
- (7) Substantial post-judgment judicial supervision.

A copy of the Certificate Re: Complex Case Designation must be served on all opposing parties. Any certificate filed by a plaintiff shall be served along with the initial service of copies of the Civil Case Cover Sheet (pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.401), summons, and complaint in the action. Any certificate filed by a defendant shall be served together with the service of copies of the counter or joinder Civil Case Cover Sheet (pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.402, subdivision (b) or (c)) and the initial first appearance pleading(s).

D. Noncomplex Counter-Designation.

Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo

If a Civil Case Cover Sheet designating an action as a complex case and the accompanying Certificate Re: Complex Case Designation has been filed and served and the Court has not previously declared the action to be a complex case, a defendant may file and serve no later than its first appearance a counter Civil Case Cover Sheet designating the action as not a complex case. Any defendant who files such a noncomplex counter-designation must also file and serve an accompanying Certificate Re: Complex Case Designation in the form prescribed by this Court and setting forth supporting information showing a reasonable basis for the noncomplex counter-designation being sought.

Once the Court has declared the action to be a complex case, any party seeking the Presiding Judge's decision that the action is not a complex case must file a noticed motion pursuant to Section H below.

E. Decision by Presiding Judge on Complex Case Designation; Early Status Conference.

If a Civil Case Cover Sheet designating an action as a complex case and the accompanying Certificate Re: Complex Case Designation have been filed and served, the Presiding Judge shall decide as soon as reasonably practicable, with or without a hearing, whether the action is a complex case and should be assigned to a single judge for all purposes.

Upon the filing of a Civil Case Cover Sheet designating an action as a complex case and the accompanying Certificate Re: Complex Case Designation, the Clerk of the Court shall set a status conference at which the Presiding Judge shall decide whether or not the action is a complex case. This status conference shall be held no later than (a) 60 days after the filing of a Civil Case Cover Sheet by a plaintiff (pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.401) or (b) 30 days after the filing of a counter Civil Case Cover Sheet by a defendant (pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.402, subdivision (a) or (b)), whichever date is earlier.

Alternatively, in his or her sole discretion, the Presiding Judge may make the decision on complex case designation and single assignment, without a status conference, based upon the filed Civil Case Cover Sheet and accompanying Certificate Re: Complex Case Designation alone.

F. Notice.

The party who seeks a complex case designation or a noncomplex counter-designation must give reasonable notice of the status conference to the opposing party or parties in the action even if they have not yet made a first appearance in the action. Such notice of the status conference shall be given in the same manner as is required for ex parte applications pursuant to California Rule of Court, Rule 379.

G. Tentative Ruling Procedures. (New)

Tentative rulings by the Presiding Judge on the determination of whether a case will be deemed complex and receive a single assigned judge shall be posted by 3:00 p.m. one court day prior to the Complex Case Status Conference. Counsel for the parties and/or any self-represented parties shall obtain the tentative ruling by telephoning (650) 261-5019 after 3:00 p.m. or by accessing the court's website at: http://www.sanmateocourt.org/online_services/tentative_rulings.php, under the category "Presiding Judge Law and Motion Calendar Tentative Rulings". Parties seeking to contest the tentative ruling and present oral argument at the Complex Case Status Conference shall notify all other parties and the Court by 4:00 p.m. on the court day before the Complex Case Status Conference of that party's intention to appear. That party shall notify the Court by telephoning (650) 261-5019 by 4:00 p.m. The tentative ruling will automatically become the ruling of the Court if the Court has not directed oral argument by its tentative ruling and notice of intent to appear has not been timely given.

H. Representations to the Court.

By presenting to the Court a Certificate Re: Complex Case Designation, an attorney or unrepresented party is certifying to the best of that person's knowledge, information, and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry under the circumstances:

- (1) That the complex case designation or noncomplex counter-designation is not being presented for any improper purpose, such as to harass or to cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of litigation;
- (2) That the claims, defenses, or other legal contentions referenced therein are warranted by existing law or by a non-frivolous argument for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing law or the establishment of new law;
- (3) That the statement of supporting information relevant to the complex case designation or noncomplex counter-designation have evidentiary support or are believed, in good faith, likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and
- (4) That there is a reasonable basis for that party's complex case designation or noncomplex counter-designation.

If, after notice and a reasonable opportunity to be heard, the Court determines that this subpart has been violated, the Court may impose an appropriate sanction upon the attorneys, law firms, or self-represented parties that have violated this subpart.

I. The Presiding Judge's Continuing Power. (*Repealed, effective July 1, 2015*)

J. Pilot Program; Sunset Provision. (*Repealed, effective 1/1/2007*).

(Adopted, effective July 1, 2004)(Amended, effective July 1, 2005) (Amended, effective January 1, 2006)(Amended, effective January 1, 2007) (Amended, effective July 1, 2015)

RULE NUMBERS 2.31 TO 2.35 ARE RESERVED

CHAPTER 8. ACCESS TO COURT RECORDS

Rule 2.36 Public Access and Privacy

Please reference. California Rules of Court, Rule 1.20.

(Adopted, effective January 1, 2008)

Rule 2.37 Public Access.

Exhibits or attachments to a document that are filed or lodged with or otherwise presented to the court, that are not otherwise marked as confidential or sealed, may be subject to public viewing and access either at the courthouse or electronically on-line (California Rules of Court, Rule 2.503, et seq.).

(Adopted, effective January 1, 2008)

Rule 2.38 Electronic Access.

Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo

Documents that are part of a court record are reasonably made available to the public electronically under the Court's Electronic Imaging program as permitted by California Rules of Court, Rules 2.500, et seq. Documents that are not properly protected by being marked confidential or sealed by court order may be subject to public access as discussed in Rule 2.38.

(Adopted, effective January 1, 2008)